Services Overview & Scrutiny Committee 2021/22 - work programme

Part 1 of 4: Recommendations made

| # | Agenda Item | Recommendation | Made to | Agreed? | Details |
|----------|-----------------------|--|-----------|------------------|---|
| 24.01.22 | Service Plans 2022-25 | That Service Plans would be stronger if: a. they include hyperlinks to any policies or action plans referenced within the plan b. where possible they include specific targets which will usually be quantitative c. they state a rationale for the inclusion of an action d. they included a positive vision of what successfully delivering an action would look like. This might take the form of a column on the "impact of completing the action" to counterbalance the equivalent column on actions not being completed. | Executive | Partially agreed | The Executive agreed that hyperlinks would be a useful. addition and suggested further discussion with both the Overview and Scrutiny Committees on any further presentational improvements which could be made. As it had not been possible to discuss the recommendations with the Chairmen and Vice-Chairmen, it had not been possible to clarify what further changes were required. |

| 24.01.22 | Carbon Neutrality | The Carbon Neutrality Action | Executive | Rejected | In the Executive's |
|----------|-------------------|---------------------------------|-----------|----------|-----------------------|
| -8.1 | Action Plan | Plan be accompanied by a | | | view the Plan is |
| | | costed business plan | | | already a robust and |
| | | including assessments of the | | | realistic document. |
| | | realism of delivery | | | This is confirmed by |
| | | | | | Climate Emergency |
| | | | | | UK's assessment of |
| | | | | | Council Action Plans |
| | | | | | against an expert- |
| | | | | | approved checklist, |
| | | | | | which showed that |
| | | | | | out of almost two |
| | | | | | hundred District |
| | | | | | Councils, Waverley |
| | | | | | had the fifth highest |
| | | | | | score. The Executive |
| | | | | | of course intends to |
| | | | | | continue building on |
| | | | | | this work. |
| 24.01.22 | Carbon Neutrality | The Indicative Action Plan be | Executive | Agreed | The Executive would |
| -8.2 | Action Plan | reinforced with additional | | | welcome suggestions |
| | | S.M.A.R.T. targets | | | for additional SMART |
| | | | | | targets. |
| 24.01.22 | Carbon Neutrality | Given that the Action Plan is a | Executive | Agreed | The Executive agreed |
| -8.3 | Action Plan | "living" document and green | | | with the |
| | | technologies are rapidly | | | recommendation |
| | | improving, the Carbon | | | and a series of |
| | | Neutrality Action Plan should | | | briefings would be |
| | | include a continuous | | | arranged on this |
| | | assessment of how the costs | | | technology, the first |
| | | and benefits of different | | | being on Ground and |
| | | technologies are changing. | | | Air Source Heat |
| | | Solar power was given as a | | | Pumps in the near |
| | | particular example | | | future. The Asset |

| | | | | | Management Strategy made clear that these measures would evolve over |
|-------------------|----------------------------------|---|-----------|----------|--|
| 24.01.22 | Carbon Neutrality Action Plan | The Council should provide more public communications on actions to combat climate change which use a positive and proactive tone | Executive | Rejected | climate related messages already have "gold status" within the Council's Communications Plan. In addition to specific messages directly on climate change, further messaging is also threaded into other communications. The Executive welcomes any suggestions for any other issues which should be communicated and offered to share the climate change communications plan with those Councillors who were interested. |
| 24.01.22 - 8.5 | Carbon Neutrality Action Plan | If they do not already, then the Asset Management Strategy and other policies related to retrofitting residential | Executive | Agreed | The Executive is happy to confirm that the draft Asset Management |

| | | properties should make explicit provision for ensuring the process is as smooth as possible for tenants and leaseholders | | | Strategy already specifically addresses this concern. It would be available for the Overview and Scrutiny Committees shortly. |
|------------------|------|--|--|-------|---|
| 21.12.13 -5.1 | LPP2 | Consider developing an equestrian policy to protect the unique quality of Waverley's rural settlements. | Executive, at the time of the review of the Local Plan | Noted | Noted by Executive 14/12/21 |
| 21.12.13 -5.2 | LPP2 | Have due regard to the questions a-k when debating the recommendations in section 2 of the report: a) Whether an appropriate assessment is required in order to comply with habitats regulations? b) Whether the likelihood that future development at Royal School will exceed the footprint of existing buildings and hard surfaces at the site would threaten the setting of the neighbouring countryside? c) Whether the Red Court site being nearer the settlement boundary and being more walkable from Haslemere makes it a more appropriate site than the Royal School? Do these factors impinge on | Executive and Full Council, December 14 th 2021 | Noted | Please note the attached document setting out in greater detail the Executive responses to questions a-k |

| Waverley's commitment to | | |
|--|--|--|
| sustainability? | | |
| d) Whether the Executive and | | |
| the Full Council can have | | |
| confidence that the Royal | | |
| School (including its playing | | |
| fields) will be able to relocate | | |
| to the Farnham Road and make | | |
| the site available within the | | |
| plan period? | | |
| e) Whether the cost of | | |
| providing sewage infrastructure | | |
| at the Royal School raises | | |
| viability concerns which might | | |
| impact on its deliverability? | | |
| f) Whether the Council has a | | |
| duty to co-operate with East | | |
| Hampshire District and | | |
| Grayshott Parish Councils | | |
| regarding the Royal School site? | | |
| g) Whether either, both or | | |
| | | |
| neither the Royal School and Red Court sites should be | | |
| | | |
| treated as brownfield and/or | | |
| previously developed sites? | | |
| h) Whether the requirement | | |
| identified by Acorn Consultants | | |
| to provide a SANG to mitigate | | |
| the impact of development at | | |
| the Royal School adversely | | |
| impacts the deliverability of | | |
| that site? | | |
| i) Whether screening is an | | |
| adequate response to the | | |

| | | potential loss of green space on the Royal School site? j) Whether allocating the Royal School sets an unwelcome precedent about building in AONB? k) Whether a given course of action will delay adoption of LPP2 as a whole and, therefore, reduce the Council's control over development within the Borough? | | | |
|-------------------|---------------------------------------|---|--|---------|---|
| 21.11.24 - 9.1 | Cranleigh Leisure Centre New Build | The Committee supports the four recommendations outlined in para 2.1 – 2.4 of the covering report | Executive, 30 th November 2021 | Agreed | On 30/11/21, the Executive endorsed these recommendations going forward to Full Council |
| 21.11.24 - 9.2 | Cranleigh Leisure Centre New Build | The portfolio holder and officers arrange one or more informal briefings for all councillors ahead of the Council meeting on 14 December, to allow time for the proposals to be considered in detail, and clarification provided where required, before councillors make a decision at Council. | Executive, 30 th November 2021 | Agreed | Briefing held on 3 rd December |
| 21.11.24 - 10 | Tree and Woodland Policy | The Executive consider the following comments from individual committee members: a. would like to see the chapter on Biodiversity strengthened considerably | Executive | Pending | Decision due February 2022 |

| | | from an ecological perspective, b. more emphasis on natural regeneration, and more consideration as to how this policy can support and work alongside Waverley's planning policies on trees, woodland and biodiversity. | | | |
|----------|----------------------|---|-----------------------------|--------|-------------------------------------|
| 21.11.24 | First Homes Approach | The Committee endorses the | Executive, 30 th | Agreed | Executive meeting on |
| -11 | Policy | Policy but understands that it is likely to have limited impact on | November 2021 | | 30/11/21 agreed the recommendations |
| | | the supply of affordable homes | | | endorsed by this |
| | | in Waverley. | | | Committee |
| 21.11.03 | Dunsfold Park SPD | That the Executive proceed to | Executive, 9 th | Agreed | Resolution passed. |
| - 6.1 | (03/11/2021) | consultation, subject to the | November 2021 | | Response to |
| | | giving consideration to | | | individual comments |
| | | observations and suggestions | | | circulated on the 19 th |
| | | from individual committee | | | November 2021. |
| | | members. | | | |

Part 2 of 4: Other resolutions

| # | Agenda Item | Resolution | Status | Actioned to | Timescale | Details of current status |
|----------------|--|--|---------|-------------|--------------|---------------------------|
| 24.01.22 - 7 | Service Plans 2022-25 | When considering future Service Plans, it would form subgroups of Committee Members with a particular interest in a service area to explore the associated actions and outcomes in depth. These subgroups would report back to the main committee. | Pending | Mark Mills | January 2022 | Added to work programme |
| 21.11.24 – 1.2 | Minutes | Follow-up to be provided on rural crime | Pending | Katie Webb | January 2022 | |
| 21.11.24 – 6 | Committee Forward Work Programme | A briefing on planning enforcement to be provided to the Committee ahead of this item being brought to the main committee | Pending | Zac Elwood | March 2022 | |

Part 3 of 4: Upcoming items

| # | Title | Purpose for scrutiny | Leader Member/Officer | Date for O&S consideration | Date for Executive decision (if applicable) |
|----|--|---|---|----------------------------|---|
| R1 | Housing Development Update | Receive an update on the current council housing developments. | Louisa Blundell | Standing | N/A |
| 1 | ASB PSPO update | Receive an update on the impact of the PSPO in Godalming. | Richard Homewood | June 2022 | N/A |
| 2 | Biodiversity Action Plan | Review the plan | Kelvin Mills | June 2022 | July 2022 |
| 3 | Corporate Performance Report Q.4 2021/22 | To scrutinise the performance of the areas and KPIs within the Committee's remit | Heads of Service / Nora Copping | June 2022 | N/A |
| 4 | Leisure Services Contract | Receive an update on the process for retendering this contract | Kelvin Mills / Tamsin McLeod | June 2022 | ТВС |
| 5 | Housing Allocations Task and Finish Group – Final Report | Review the final report of the Task and Finish Group and decide which recommendations to adopt | Cllr George Wilson / Michael Rivers / Mark Mills | June 2022 | June 2022 |
| 6 | Maintenance contract procurement | Review the results of a procurement exercise | Hugh Wagstaff | June 2022 | ТВС |
| 7 | Local Planning Enforcement Plan update | Review proposed updates to the plan | Zac Elwood | June 2022 | TBD |
| 8 | Project Closure Report on Housing Responsive Repairs and Voids contract procurement. | To review report following former committee's task and finish group on procurement project to ensure all recommendations completed. | Hugh Wagstaff | June 2022 | December 2022 |
| 9 | Service Plan 2023-26 | Convene working groups | Mark Mills / Nora Copping | June 2022 | Feb 2023 |
| 10 | Safer Waverley Partnership 2022-23 | Review and scrutinise the activities of crime and disorder partnerships and the activities of its partners. This fulfils the | Andrew Smith / Katie Webb | September 2022 | N/A |

| | | requirements of s.19 of the Police and | | | |
|----|---------------------------|--|------------|-----|-----|
| | | Justice Act 2006. | | | |
| 11 | Planning Improvement Plan | To review the plan | Zac Elwood | TBD | TBD |

Part 4 of 4: Task and Finish groups

| Subject | Objective | Key issues | Chair | Lead officer | Status | Progress | Target Completion Date |
|----------------------------|---|--|---|--------------------------------|-------------|---|------------------------------|
| Housing allocations policy | The objective of the review is to confirm that the allocation scheme: • is fit for purpose and delivering fair, transparent and efficient access to social housing • reflects current statutory and regulatory requirements, and • reflects local housing need and affordability, and • is managed by team with sufficient resources to administer it effectively | Does the scheme meet statutory and regulatory requirements? Who has been successful in accessing social housing? Do stakeholders understand the scheme? Does the scheme reflect local housing need? | Cllr George Wilson, Cllr Michaela Wicks (vice- chair) | Michael Rivers / Mark Mills | In progress | Meeting being held. On course for target completion date. | March 2022 |